

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling **Long Pond** this year! Your monitoring group sampled the deep spot **three** times this year! As you know, conducting multiple sampling events each year enables DES to more accurately detect water quality changes. Keep up the good work!

As part of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Lake Assessment (NLA) initiative, DES biologists performed a comprehensive lake assessment on **Long Pond** in **August** during **2007**. The NLA serves to assess the Nation's lake and determine the percentage of our Nation's lakes that are in good, fair or poor condition. Lakes were randomly selected based on a statistical survey representing the population of lakes in their ecological region, but had to be at least one meter deep and over ten acres in size. Lakes were assessed using standard protocols, and the following parameters were measured: temperature, dissolved oxygen, nutrients, chlorophyll-a, water clarity, turbidity, color, zooplankton and phytoplankton, bacteria, macroinvertebrates, habitat condition, and sediment cores. Some data from this assessment has been included in your annual report and added to the historical database for your lake/pond. The lake's data will help to determine the regional and national condition of lakes. Those volunteer monitoring groups with historical data can compare the condition of their lakes on a statewide, regional or national level. Data from the National Lake Assessment will be compiled, entered into a national database, analyzed, and a draft report will be made available for public review. For more information about EPA's NLA please visit [www.epa.gov/owow/lakes/lakessurvey](http://www.epa.gov/owow/lakes/lakessurvey).

We encourage your monitoring group to formally participate in the DES Weed Watchers program, a volunteer program dedicated to monitoring lakes and ponds for the presence of exotic aquatic plants. This program only involves a small amount of time during the summer months. Volunteers survey their waterbody once a month from **May** through **September**. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the waterbody and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watcher kit, volunteers look for any species that are suspicious. After a trip or two around the waterbody, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers immediately send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic species, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

If you would like to help protect your lake or pond from exotic plant infestations, contact Amy Smagula, Exotic Species Program Coordinator, at 271-2248 or visit the Weed Watchers website at [www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm](http://www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm).



# OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

## DEEP SPOT

### ➤ **Chlorophyll-a**

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of algal abundance. Algae are typically microscopic plants that are naturally found in the lake ecosystem. The measurement of chlorophyll-a in the water gives biologists an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year chlorophyll-a data.

Figure 1 depicts the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column.

**The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **decreased** from **June** to **August**, and then **increased greatly** from **August** to **September**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2007** chlorophyll-a mean is **greater than** the state and similar lake medians. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix D.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a **decreasing** in-lake chlorophyll-a concentration since monitoring began. Specifically the mean chlorophyll concentration has **improved** since **2002**.

Please keep in mind that this observation is based on only **three** years of data. After 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean transparency since monitoring began.



While algae are naturally present in all waterbodies, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. Phosphorus is the nutrient that algae typically depend upon for growth in New Hampshire lakes and ponds. Algal concentrations increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase. Increased Chlorophyll-a concentrations can also affect water clarity, causing Secchi-disk transparency to decrease (worsen) and turbidity to increase (worsen).

2007

Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about management practices that can be implemented to minimize phosphorus loading to surface waters.

## Long Pond, Pelham

**Figure 1.** Monthly and Historical Chlorophyll-a Results



### ➤ **Phytoplankton and Cyanobacteria**

Table 1 lists the phytoplankton (algae) and/or cyanobacteria observed in the pond in **2007**. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed and their relative dominance in the sample.

**Table 1. Dominant Phytoplankton/Cyanobacteria (June 2007)**

Division	Genus	% Dominance
Cyanophyta	Anabaena	67.0
Pyrrophyta	Ceratium	18.0
Bacillariophyta	Tabellaria	6.0

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season. Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession. Diatoms and golden-brown algae populations are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

The cyanobacterium **Anabaena** was dominant in the **June** plankton sample. ***This cyanobacteria, if present in large amounts, can be toxic to livestock, wildlife, pets, and humans.*** Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria.

Cyanobacteria can reach nuisance levels when phosphorus loading from the watershed to surface waters is increased and favorable environmental conditions occur, such as a period of sunny, warm weather.

The presence of cyanobacteria serves as a reminder of the pond’s delicate balance. Watershed residents should continue to act proactively to reduce nutrient loading to the pond by eliminating fertilizer use on lawns, keeping the pond shoreline natural, re-vegetating cleared areas within the watershed, and properly maintaining septic systems and roads.

In addition, residents should also observe the pond in September and October during the time of fall turnover (lake mixing) to document any algal blooms that may occur. Cyanobacteria have the ability to regulate their depth in the water column by producing or releasing gas from vesicles. However, occasionally lake mixing can affect their buoyancy and cause them to rise to the surface and bloom. Wind and currents tend to “pile” cyanobacteria into scums that accumulate in one section of the pond. If a fall bloom occurs, please collect a sample in any clean jar or bottle and contact the VLAP Coordinator.

### ➤ **Secchi Disk Transparency**

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure how far a person can see into the water. Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment in the water, as well as the natural color of the water. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year transparency data. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

Figure 2 depicts the historical and current year transparency *with and without* the use of a viewscope.

The current year data (the top graph) includes both the non-viewscope and viewscope readings for **2007**.

The current year *non-viewscope* in-lake transparency **decreased gradually** from **June** through **September**.

The current year *viewscope* in-lake transparency **decreased gradually** from **June** through **September**.

The transparency measured with the viewscope was **greater than** the transparency measured without the viewscope this summer. As discussed previously, a comparison of the transparency readings taken with and without the use of a viewscope shows that the viewscope typically increases the depth to which the Secchi disk can be seen into the lake, particularly on sunny and windy days. We recommend that your group measure Secchi disk transparency with and without the viewscope on each sampling event.

It is important to note that viewscope transparency data are not compared to a New Hampshire median or similar lake median. This is because lake transparency with the use of a viewscope has not been historically measured by DES. In the future, the New Hampshire and similar lake medians for viewscope transparency will be calculated and added to the appropriate graphs.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2007** mean non-viewscope transparency is **slightly less than** the state and similar lake medians, and was the highest (deepest) mean transparency measured since monitoring began. Please refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.

Visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a **stable** transparency. Specifically, the transparency has **remained relatively stable ranging between 1.54 and 2.39 meters** since monitoring began in **2002**.


Please keep in mind that this observation is based on only **three** years of data. After 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean transparency since monitoring began.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment-laden stormwater runoff to flow into surface waters, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to best management practices that can be implemented to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, are available from DES upon request.


We recommend that your group continue to measure the transparency with and without the use of the viewscope on each sampling event. Ultimately, we would like all monitoring groups to use a viewscope to take Secchi disk readings as the use of the viewscope results in less variability in transparency readings between monitors and sampling events. At some point in the future, when we have sufficient data to determine a statistical relationship between transparency readings collected with and without the use of a viewscope, it may only be necessary to collect transparency readings with the use of a viewscope.

## Long Pond, Pelham

**Figure 2.** Monthly and Historical Transparency Results



2007 Transparency Viewscope and Non-Viewscope Results



Historical Transparency Non-Viewscope Results

### ➤ **Total Phosphorus**

Phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient for vascular plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations.

**The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

The graphs in Figure 3 depict the historical amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) and hypolimnetic (lower layer) total phosphorus concentrations; the inset graphs depict current year total phosphorus data.

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **increased** from **June** to **August**, and then **remained stable** from **August** to **September**.

The **slightly elevated** epilimnetic phosphorus concentration measured on the **August** and **September** sampling events may have been due to phosphorus-enriched stormwater runoff that flowed into the surface layer of the pond. Weather records indicate that it was raining while sampling in August and September, and over **1.0 inch** of rainfall occurred **24 hours** prior to the **September** event.

The historical data show that the **2007** mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is **greater than** the state and similar lake medians. Refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **increased slightly** from **June** through **September**.

The hypolimnetic (lower layer) turbidity sample was **elevated** on the **August** and **September** sampling events (**3.19 and 4.6 NTUs**). This suggests that the pond bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the pond bottom is covered by an easily disturbed thick organic layer of sediment. When the pond bottom is disturbed, phosphorus rich sediment is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

The historical data show that the **2007** mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is **greater than** the state and similar lake medians. Please refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.


Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line for the epilimnion and hypolimnion shows a **decreasing** phosphorus concentration since monitoring began. Specifically the mean annual epilimnetic and hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has **improved** since monitoring began in **2002**.

As discussed previously, after 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean phosphorus concentration since monitoring began.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about the watershed sources of phosphorus and how excessive phosphorus loading can negatively affect the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds.

## Long Pond, Pelham

**Figure 3.** Monthly and Historical Total Phosphorus Data.



### ➤ pH

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year pH data for the in-lake stations.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 typically limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the state surface waters are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The pH at the deep spot this year ranged from **7.03 to 7.23** in the epilimnion and from **7.08 to 7.12** in the hypolimnion, which means that the water is ***slightly basic***.

### ➤ Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC)

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year epilimnetic ANC for the deep spot.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation about ANC, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (upper layer) ranged from **18.9 mg/L to 19.8 mg/L**. This indicates that the pond is has a ***low vulnerability*** to acidic inputs.

### ➤ Conductivity

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current conductivity data for in-lake stations.

Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current, which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column. The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The **2007** conductivity results for the deep spot were ***slightly lower than*** has been measured ***since monitoring began***. It is likely that the lack of rainfall during the **2007** sampling season reduced watershed runoff to the pond. Typically, rain events and snow melt cause potentially pollutant laden watershed runoff to reach tributaries and ultimately the pond leading to elevated conductivity levels.

However, the in-lake conductivity is ***much greater than*** the state median. Typically, increasing conductivity indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities. These sources include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff which contains road salt during the spring snow-melt. New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could also contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the pond and tributaries with ***elevated*** conductivity to help identify the sources of conductivity.

*To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at [http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2004/documents/Appendix\\_D.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2004/documents/Appendix_D.pdf) or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

It is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the pond. In New Hampshire, the most commonly used de-icing material is salt (sodium chloride).

*A limited amount of chloride sampling was conducted during **2007**. Please refer to the chloride discussion for more information.*

Therefore, we recommend that the **epilimnion** (upper layer) be sampled for chloride next year. This additional sampling may help us identify what areas of the watershed are contributing to the increasing in-lake conductivity.

*Please note that the DES Limnology Center in Concord will be able to conduct chloride analyses, free of charge, beginning in 2008. As a reminder, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.*

➤ **Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Nitrite+Nitrate Nitrogen (only those lakes with current year Lake Survey data)**

Table 7a in Appendix A presents the current year and historical Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Table 7b presents the current year and historical nitrite and nitrate nitrogen. Nitrogen is another nutrient that is essential for the growth of plants and algae. Nitrogen is typically the limiting nutrient in estuaries and

coastal ecosystems. However, in freshwater, nitrogen is not typically the limiting nutrient. Therefore, nitrogen is not typically sampled through VLAP. However, if phosphorus concentrations in freshwater are elevated, then nitrogen loading may stimulate additional plant and algal growth. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The ratio of the mean total nitrogen to mean total phosphorus (TN:TP) in the epilimnion sample this year was **greater than 15** and indicates that **phosphorus** is the **limiting nutrient** in the pond. This means that any additional **phosphorus** loading to the pond will stimulate additional plant and algal growth. Therefore, it is not critical to conduct nitrogen sampling.

### ➤ Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature

Table 9 in Appendix A depicts the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) collected during **2007**.

The presence of sufficient amounts of dissolved oxygen in the water column is vital to fish and amphibians and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was greater than **100 percent** saturation between **0.1** and **3.0** meters at the deep spot on the **June** sampling event. Wave action from wind can also dissolve atmospheric oxygen into the upper layers of the water column. Layers of algae can also increase the dissolved oxygen in the water column, since oxygen is a by-product of photosynthesis. Considering that the depth to which sunlight could penetrate into the water column was approximately **3.0** meters on this sampling event, as shown by the Secchi disk transparency depth, and that the epilimnion was located between approximately **0.1** and **2.0** meters, we suspect that an abundance of cyanobacteria in the epilimnion caused the oxygen super-saturation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was ***much lower in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer)*** at the deep spot on the **June** sampling event. As stratified ponds age, and as the summer progresses, oxygen typically becomes ***depleted*** in the hypolimnion by the process of decomposition. Specifically, the reduction of hypolimnetic oxygen is primarily a result of biological organisms using oxygen to break down organic matter, both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the pond where the water meets the sediment. When hypolimnetic oxygen concentration is depleted to less than 1 mg/L, **as it was on the annual biologist visit this year and on many previous annual visits**, the phosphorus that is normally bound up in the sediment may be re-released into the water column, a process referred to as ***internal phosphorus loading***.

The **low** hypolimnetic oxygen level is a sign of the pond’s **aging** and **declining** health. This year the DES biologist collected the dissolved oxygen profile in

**June.** We recommend that the annual biologist visit for the **2008** sampling year be scheduled during **July** so that we can determine if oxygen is depleted in the hypolimnion **later** in the sampling year.

➤ **Turbidity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year data for in-lake turbidity.

Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The turbidity of the epilimnion (upper layer) sample was **elevated (3.12 and 4.09 NTUs)** on the **August** and **September** sampling events. This suggests that a rainstorm may have recently contributed stormwater runoff to the lake and/or an algal bloom had occurred in the lake.

As discussed previously, the hypolimnetic (lower layer) turbidity was **elevated (3.19 and 4.67 NTUs)** on the **August** and **September** sampling events. In addition, the hypolimnetic turbidity has been elevated on many sampling events during previous sampling years. This suggests that the pond bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the lake bottom is covered by an easily disturbed thick organic layer of sediment. When the pond bottom is disturbed, phosphorus rich sediment is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

## TRIBUTARY SAMPLING

### ➤ **Total Phosphorus**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year total phosphorus data for tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a detailed explanation of total phosphorus.

The phosphorus concentration in the **Jones Rd. at Scenic View** sample on the **June** sampling event was **slightly elevated (17 ug/L)**, and the turbidity was also **elevated (15 NTUs)**. Elevated turbidity levels are most often a result of sediment and/or organic material present in the sample. These materials typically contain phosphorus and when present in elevated amounts can contribute to elevated tributary phosphorus levels.

### ➤ **pH**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year pH data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation of pH.

The pH of the tributary station(s) ranged from **6.54 to 7.23 (> 6)** and is sufficient to support aquatic life.

### ➤ **Conductivity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current conductivity data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation of conductivity.

The **Jones Rd. and Jones Rd. at Scenic View** has experienced elevated conductivity levels since monitoring began. We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a conductivity survey of tributaries with **elevated** conductivity and along the shoreline of the pond to help identify the sources of conductivity. As previously mentioned increasing conductivity typically indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct stream surveys and rain event sampling along the tributaries with **elevated** conductivity so that we can determine potential sources to the lake.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at [http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd\\_monitoring.pdf](http://www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2002/documents/Appndxd_monitoring.pdf), or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

### ➤ **Turbidity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year turbidity data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation of turbidity.

Overall, **2007** tributary turbidity levels were **similar** to historical tributary turbidity levels.

### ➤ **Bacteria (*E. coli*)**

Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **may** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **may** also be present. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation.

Two in-lake locations were sampled for *E.coli* on the **August** DES Lake Survey Program sampling event. The results were all **< 10**, which is **much less than** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational surface waters that are not designated public beaches and 88 counts per 100 mL for surface waters that are designated public beaches.

If residents are concerned about sources of bacteria, such as failing septic systems, animal waste, or waterfowl waste, it is best to conduct *E. coli* testing when the water table is high, when beach use is heavy, or immediately after rain events.

### ➤ **Chlorides**

Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year data for chloride sampling. The chloride ion (Cl-) is found naturally in some surface waters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that elevated chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The **epilimnion** was sampled for chloride during the **August** sampling event. The result was **65 mg/L**, which is ***much less than*** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria. However, this concentration is ***greater than*** what we would normally expect to measure in undisturbed New Hampshire surface waters.

We recommend that your monitoring group continue to conduct chloride sampling in the epilimnion at the deep spot, particularly in the spring during snow-melt and during rain events during the summer. This will establish a baseline of data that will assist your monitoring group and DES to determine lake quality trends in the future.

*Please note that chloride analyses will be run free of charge at the DES Limnology Center beginning in 2008. Please contact the VLAP Coordinator if you are interested in chloride monitoring.*

## **DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL**

### **Annual Assessment Audit**

#### **Annual Assessment Audit:**

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a sampling procedures assessment audit for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled-out an assessment audit sheet to document the volunteer monitors' ability to follow the proper field sampling procedures, as outlined in the VLAP Monitor's Field Manual. This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors failed to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an ***excellent*** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

### **Sample Receipt Checklist**

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if your group followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did an ***excellent*** job when collecting samples and submitting them to the laboratory

2007

this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the laboratory staff to contact your group with questions, and no samples were rejected for analysis.

## USEFUL RESOURCES

*Acid Deposition Impacting New Hampshire's Ecosystems*, DES fact sheet ARD-32, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm).

*Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials*, DES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

*Canada Geese Facts and Management Options*, DES fact sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm).

*Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms*, DES fact sheet WMB-10, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm).

*Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone*, DES fact sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm).

*Freshwater Jellyfish In New Hampshire*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-5, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-51/htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-51/htm).

*Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff*, DES fact sheet WD-WQE-7, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm).

*IPM: An Alternative to Pesticides*, DES fact sheet WD-SP-3, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-3.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-3.htm).

*Iron Bacteria in Surface Water*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-18, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-18.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-18.htm).

*Lake Foam*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-5.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-5.htm).

*Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm).

*Low Impact Development Hydrologic Analysis*. Manual prepared by Prince George's County, Maryland, Department of Environmental Resources. July 1999. To access this document, visit [www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid\\_hydr.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid_hydr.pdf) or call the EPA Water Resource Center at (202) 566-1736.

*Low Impact Development: Taking Steps to Protect New Hampshire's Surface Waters*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm).

*Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act*, DES fact sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm).

*Road Salt and Water Quality*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm).

*Sand Dumping - Beach Construction*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-15, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm).

*Shorelands Under the Jurisdiction of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act*, DES fact sheet SP-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-4.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-4.htm).

*Soil Erosion and Sediment Control on Construction Sites*, DES fact sheet WQE-6, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-6.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wqe/wqe-6.htm).

*Through the Looking Glass: A Field Guide to Aquatic Plants*, North American Lake Management Society, 1988, (608) 233-2836 or [www.nalms.org](http://www.nalms.org).

*Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants*, DES fact sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm).

*Watershed Districts and Ordinances*, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-16.htm](http://www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-16.htm).